



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/047,457	01/14/2002	Marck R. Robinson	760131.401	1018	
500	7590 11/10/2004	:	EXAM	EXAMINER	
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC			KENDALL, CHUCK O		
701 FIFTH A SUITE 6300	VE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	WA 98104-7092	•	2122		

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



			_
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u>O</u>
-	10/047,457	ROBINSON, MARCK R.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chuck Kendall	2122	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile of the statutory minimum of thirty (30) danged will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ж.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	January 2002.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	ccepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			·
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prince application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat onty documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No red in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	∧ □	· (DTO 442)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	/ (PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/047,457 Page 2

Art Unit: 2122

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the application filed 01/14/02.

2. Claims 1 - 6 are pending.

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim has improper form. Each claim begins with a capital letter and ends with a period. Periods may not be used elsewhere in the claims except for abbreviations. See Fressola v. Manbeck, 36 USPQ2d 1211 (D.D.C. 1995). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation, 37 CFR 1.75 (i). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

5. Claims 1 – 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Buxton et al. USPN 5,970,252.

Regarding claim 1, Buxton anticipates a method for designing software components for integration into any

system without additional coding, the method comprising:

adopting a uniform application programming interface that breaks down external objects to include the characteristics of object, attribute, and method. Ideally, the characteristics include create object, delete object, read attributes, write attributes, and invoke behavior (7: 45 – 8:10, see OLE libraries and WIN32 API).

Regarding claim 2, a software tool for providing communication between an API and a data source, the tool comprising:

a join engine adapted to provide communication between at least one view and at least one base source associated with the data source, the join engine further adapted to update the at least one base source in response to updates to the view (12: 35 - 45, see editor module).

Regarding claim 3, the tool of claim 2, wherein the join engine is configured to support on the at least one base source operations of create object and delete object on the at least one view (11:25-35).

Regarding claim 4, the tool of claim 2, wherein the join engine is configured to map events in the at least one base source to the at least one view (21: 47 – 52, see wrap or spoofing components, note: in order to wrap a component it would have to mapped first).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 5, 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buxton et al. USPN 5,970,252 in view of Mitchel et al. USPN 5,872,973.

Regarding claim 5, Buxton discloses all the claimed limitations as applied in claim 2 above. Buxton doesn't explicitly disclose creating virtual attributes based on the view through expressions performed on the view. Buxton does disclose implementing the application using C++ (4: 60 – 65), as well as disclosing creating objects (8: 3). Mitchel in an analogous art discloses virtual views and creating different views by way of dynamic binding which is used in C++ an involves a creation of pointers for virtual functions (30: 9-20). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Buxton and Mitchel because, creating virtual attributes is a general practice in C++ and would make the system more dynamic.

Regarding claim 6, the tool of claim 5, wherein the expressions comprise algebraic expressions, names, strings, and functions see (Mitchel, Appendix F).

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuck Kendall whose telephone number is 571-2723698. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 am - 6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Dam can be reached on 571-2723695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,457

Art Unit: 2122

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CK.

TUAN DAM

TUAN D